NATIONAL SURVEY METHODOLOGY

This poll was conducted between April 22- April 24, 2020 among a national sample of 1993 Registered Voters. The interviews were conducted online, and the data were weighted to approximate a target sample of Registered Voters based on age, educational attainment, gender, race, and region. Results from the full survey have a margin of error of plus or minus 2%.
VOTERS ACROSS THE POLITICAL SPECTRUM BELIEVE US INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE IS NECESSARY TO DEFEAT COVID-19

A bipartisan majority of voters support the funding of $10 to 15 billion (approximately 1%) to be allocated towards international assistance in fighting global disease— including 6 in 10 Republicans, 7 in 10 independents, and 8 in 10 Democrats.

THREE AREAS DRIVE THIS SUPPORT

1. Voters understand that international assistance is critical to the fight against COVID-19.

2. Voters believe that a U.S.- only approach is inadequate; they do not want to put the recovery at risk by letting the disease continue to spread globally.

3. Voters see the CARES Act as an important start— and see the need for more relief, and for international assistance funding.
Nearly three-quarters (72%) of voters support including funding of about $10 to $15 billion toward international assistance in fighting the spread of global disease in the next emergency package.

As you may know, the CARES Act provided $2.2 trillion to respond to the economic and health challenges caused by the coronavirus (COVID-19) crisis. Some have suggested that alongside the domestic response, the US should also provide a small percentage, about $10-$15 billion, or 1% of the next emergency package, to support health programs in countries around the world to fight the spread of coronavirus (COVID-19) and keep Americans safe. Based on what you know, do you support or oppose including funding of about $10-$15 billion, or approximately about 1% of the total, toward international assistance in fighting the spread of global disease in a next emergency package?
There is bipartisan support for allocating $10-$15 billion for international assistance in the next emergency relief package.

As you may know, the CARES Act provided $2.2 trillion to respond to the economic and health challenges caused by the coronavirus (COVID-19) crisis. Some have suggested that alongside the domestic response, the US should also provide a small percentage, about $10-$15 billion, or 1% of the next emergency package, to support health programs in countries around the world to fight the spread of coronavirus (COVID-19) and keep Americans safe. Based on what you know, do you support or oppose including funding of about $10-$15 billion, or approximately about 1% of the total, toward international assistance in fighting the spread of global disease in a next emergency package?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered Voters</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Republican</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>72%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Democrat</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>82%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Support/ Oppose= (Strongly + Somewhat)
Voters understand that international assistance is critical to the fight against COVID-19.

How important do you think it is for the United States to work with other countries to fight diseases that could spread globally?

96%

3%

How important do you think it is for the United States to work to limit the spread of coronavirus (COVID-19) in other countries?

93%

7%
Voters strongly believe the United States is vulnerable if we do not change the way we approach how we fight global diseases.

Which of the following comes closer to your view toward the spread of the coronavirus (COVID-19)?

- **90%**
  It shows we can be vulnerable and need to make change in the way we fight infectious diseases in the U.S. and around the world.

- **10%**
  It is probably a one-time event and there’s no need to make changes to our approach to public and global health.
Three-quarters (77%) of voters believe that as long as COVID-19 is spreading anywhere in the world, Americans will not be safe from new outbreaks.

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view, even if neither is exactly correct?

- As long as the coronavirus (COVID-19) is spreading anywhere in the world, Americans will not be safe from new outbreaks.
- If we can contain the virus within the United States, we should be able to prevent its return.
Support for International Assistance in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

Voters believe international assistance makes us safer.

Which of the following statements comes closest to your view, even if neither is exactly correct?

- **80%**
  
  Assistance to other countries with weaker health systems to help them fight diseases are investments that make America safer.

- **20%**
  
  Assistance to other countries with weaker health systems to help them fight diseases are a waste of money that doesn’t have an impact on America.
Most support the CARES Act and a significant majority believe we need additional funding.

Based on what you know, do you support or oppose the CARES Act, otherwise known as the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act?

Support: 74%
Oppose: 7%
Don’t know/No opinion: 21%

Thinking about the CARES Act, which of the following comes closest to your view, even if neither is exactly right?

Support for International Assistance in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic

Even though the CARES Act was passed, we need another recovery package.

The CARES Act provides enough to deal with the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak.

Total Support/ Oppose= (Strongly + Somewhat)
As part of a potential package, a strong majority of voters want to see funding for the State Department, USAID, and other agencies.

Below are a few provisions that might be included in the new coronavirus (COVID-19) relief package. Do you support or oppose the following provisions being included in the new coronavirus (COVID-19) relief package?

Additional support to the State Department, the US Agency for International Development (USAID), and other agencies to fight the coronavirus (COVID) globally to limit its spread to the United States.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Don’t know</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Support/ Oppose= (Strongly + Somewhat)
Voters indicate $10-$15 billion in international assistance feels appropriate. A plurality of voters believe it’s the right amount, with three times more saying it’s too little rather than too much.

As you may know, the CARES Act provided $2.2 trillion to respond to the economic and health challenges caused by the coronavirus (COVID-19) crisis. Some have suggested that alongside the domestic response, the US should also provide a small percentage, about $10-$15 billion, or 1% of the next emergency package, to support health programs in countries around the world to fight the spread of coronavirus (COVID-19) and keep Americans safe. Based on what you know, do you support or oppose including funding of about $10-$15 billion, or approximately about 1% of the total, toward international assistance in fighting the spread of global disease in a next emergency package?

Do you think about 1% of the total funding package is too much, too little, or about the right amount to spend on the global response to the coronavirus (COVID-19)?

Total Support/ Oppose = (Strongly + Somewhat)

- Support: 72%
- Oppose: 17%
- Don’t know/ No opinion: 11%

- About right: 47%
- Too little: 39%
- Too much: 13%